SWT Planning Committee - 18 March 2021 held via Zoom Video Conference

Present: Councillor Simon Coles (Chair)

Councillors Marcia Hill, Ian Aldridge, Dixie Darch, Roger Habgood, John Hassall, Mark Lithgow, Janet Lloyd, Chris Morgan, Craig Palmer, Andrew Sully, Ray Tully, Brenda Weston, Keith Wheatley and Loretta Whetlor

Officers: Rebecca Miller (Principal Planning Specialist), Martin Evans (Shape Legal Partnership), Nick Bryant (Assistant Director for Strategic Planning), Denise Grandfield (Planning Specialist) and Tracey Meadows (Democracy and Governance)

(The meeting commenced at 1.00 pm)

139. Apologies

Apologies were received from Councillors Blaker and Firmin.

140. Minutes of the previous meeting of the Planning Committee

(Minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on 25 February 2021 circulated with the agenda)

Resolved that the minutes of the Planning Committee held on 25 February 2021 be confirmed as a correct record.

Proposed by Councillor Coles, seconded by Councillor Hill

The **Motion** was carried.

141. Declarations of Interest or Lobbying

Members present at the meeting declared the following personal interests in their capacity as a Councillor or Clerk of a County, Town or Parish Council or any other Local Authority:-

Name	Application No.	Reason	Action Taken
All Cllrs received correspondence from the applicant	19/20/0008	Personal	Spoke and Voted

142. **Public Participation**

Application No.	Name	Position	Stance
19/20/0008	Mr R Fowler	Local resident	Objecting
	Mrs P Fowler	Local resident	Objecting
	Mr R Down		In Favour
	Mr J Marks	Applicant	In Favour

143. **19/20/0008**

Application for Outline Planning with all matters reserved for the erection of 1 No. dwelling on land to the south of Mill Lane, Hatch Beauchamp

Comments from members of the public included;

- Concerns that the development was in the open countryside and outside the settlement limits of Hatch Beauchamp;
- Concerns that if approved the development would set a precedent which would allow for more local development on productive agricultural land;
- The applicant's business provided much needed local jobs in the village;
- The development would mean that the applicant would not have to travel to work;
- Living near the site would add extra security for the business;
- The Parish Council and several local residents supported the application;
- The development would mean that the business could expand and be a vast improvement to the village;

Comments from Members included;

- Concerns with the lack of 'Right to Build self-build plots' available;
- Concerns with the removal of the hedgerow and trees;
- The concerns with phosphate run off would not be a problem with this development;

At this point Cllr Morgan joined the meeting.

- The proposed development was not in a sustainable location;
- The development was in contravene of the basic local and National Policies;

Councillor Hill proposed and Councillor Lloyd seconded a motion for the application to be **REFUSED** as per Officer Recommendation.

The motion was carried.

144. Latest appeals and decisions received

Latest appeals and decisions noted.

(The Meeting ended at 2.22 pm)